| **Student Name:** Kevin Hu |
| --- |

| **Motion:** THBT multinational corporations operating in developing nations should be compelled to follow the environmental standards of western liberal democracies |
| --- |

| Student spoke for the duration of the specified time frame. | N/A | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | **5** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Student offered and/or accepted a point of information relevant to the topic. | N/A | 1 | 2 | **3** | 4 | 5 |
| Student spoke in a stylistic and persuasive manner (e.g. volume, speed, tone, diction, and flow). | N/A | 1 | 2 | **3** | 4 | 5 |
| Student’s argument is complete in that it has relevant Claims, supported by sufficient Evidence/Warrants, Impacts, and Synthesis. | N/A | 1 | 2 | **3** | 4 | 5 |
| Student argument reflects application of theory taught during class time. | N/A | 1 | 2 | 3 | **4** | 5 |
| Student’s rebuttal is effective, and directly responds to an opponent’s arguments. | N/A | 1 | 2 | 3 | **4** | 5 |
| Student ably supported teammate’s case and arguments. | N/A | 1 | 2 | **3** | 4 | 5 |
| Student applied feedback from previous debate(s). | N/A | 1 | 2 | **3** | 4 | 5 |
| Competition Score: | 70.5 | | | | | |
| Rubric  1 - Unobserved.  2 - Student attempt noted. Needs extended teacher support to properly execute skill.  3 - Student effort noted. Can execute skill with minimal teacher input and guidance.  4 - Student can execute skill with little to no prompting.  5 - Student can execute skill without prompting; exceeds expectations for child of that level. | | | | | | |
| **Teacher comments:**  [NOTE: Today’s speeches are 8 minutes’ long. ]  The hook was almost too rhetorical, we should focus on the impacts.   * Good job signposting.   It isn’t clear as to why Prop’s green policy even requires a lot of funding! Is enforcement costly?   * Prop is just suggesting a suspension of license and denial of market access, what is the even the taxpayers burden in that model?   On political volatility, it doesn’t rebut the fact that Proposition will enjoy the benefits of their policy under the current government that does have the fiat to propose this.   * On a scale, they still protect the environment more.   Can we first prove why economic development in LEDCs are incompatible with the regulatory frameworks Prop is suggesting?   * Do they have to close down their factories in order to be compliant? How significant is the economic loss?   The denial of corporate compliance requires you to prove HOW they are able to achieve this considering Prop’s extensive modelling. What can they do? Won’t they be denied access to American markets?   * We are better off explaining this as an argument instead since it requires extensive analysis.   We need a counter set-up BEFORE the rebuttals:   * Good burden of proof for harm minimisation. * Where is the rest of the set-up? * You need to provide checks and balances as to why LEDCs will eventually protect the environment down the line.   + Otherwise, we have no defense against Prop’s winning pathway on protecting the environment.   On your first argument:   * Well done highlighting greenwashing and lobbying.   + We should explain HOW they get away with things like greenwashing, won’t the state be able to discover the fraudulent activity and still enforce the non-compliance? * Even if they move their supply chains, won’t this change nothing since the home country is the one enforcing this?   + Explain properly that the enforcement must be collected on the ground, so these companies can bribe federal officers collecting the data to falsely prove compliance.   Your impacting is a whole other argument on the opportunity costs!   * It should instead be that despite the ineffectiveness, these companies will sanitise their pollution and claim they have been compliant.   On your second argument:   * A lot of the mechanisms you’re highlighting here should’ve been in the first argument! * We need to prove why it would’ve been impossible to continue the business model they have in LEDCs if they were to be compliant.   Please offer more POIs today!  8.05 | | | | | | |